SPECTRUM: Journal of Gender and Children Studies Vol. 5, No. 1 (2025): 59-67
Website: http://journal.iain-manado.ac.id/index.php/SPECTRUM
ISSN 2963-4059 (online)

Child Sexual Abuse from a Neurocriminological Perspective: A Multidisciplinary Analysis

Pelecehan Seksual Anak dari Perspektif Neurokriminologi: Analisis Multidisiplin

Isyana Kurniasari Konoras
Universitas Khairun, Maluku Utara, Indonesia, Jalan Pertamina Kampus II Gambesi, Kelurahan Jati Perumnas, Kecamatan Ternate Selatan, Kota Ternate, Maluku Utara, 97719
E-mail: isyana@unkhair.ac.id

ABSTRACT

Child sexual abuse (CSA) is a grave criminal offense that profoundly impacts the victims' psychological, physical, and social well-being. While conventional criminological approaches have focused on sociological and psychological determinants, neurocriminology offers a new dimension by exploring neurological and biological correlates of deviant behavior. This paper aims to analyze CSA through the lens of neurocriminology, particularly the neural dysfunctions and brain abnormalities frequently observed in perpetrators. Using a qualitative literature review, this study synthesizes findings from neuroimaging and neuroscientific research. Evidence suggests that abnormalities in the prefrontal cortex, amygdala, and limbic system are significantly correlated with impaired impulse control, emotional regulation, and deviant sexual behavior. These findings emphasize the need for interdisciplinary frameworks in addressing CSA—combining legal, neurological, and psychological strategies to enhance both prevention and rehabilitation. The paper concludes with recommendations for justice systems to consider neuroscientific insights when handling CSA cases.

Keywords: Brain dysfunction; Child sexual abuse; Neurocriminology.

ABSTRAK

Pelecehan seksual anak (CSA) merupakan tindak pidana berat yang berdampak besar pada kesejahteraan psikologis, fisik, dan sosial korban. Sementara pendekatan kriminologi konvensional berfokus pada determinan sosiologis dan psikologis, neurokriminologi menawarkan dimensi baru dengan mengeksplorasi korelasi neurologis dan biologis dari perilaku menyimpang. Makalah ini bertujuan untuk menganalisis CSA melalui sudut pandang neurokriminologi, khususnya disfungsi saraf dan kelainan otak yang sering diamati pada pelaku. Dengan menggunakan tinjauan pustaka kualitatif, penelitian ini mensintesiskan temuan dari penelitian neuroimaging dan neurosains. Bukti menunjukkan bahwa kelainan pada korteks prefrontal, amigdala, dan sistem limbik berkorelasi signifikan dengan gangguan kontrol impuls, regulasi emosi, dan perilaku seksual menyimpang. Temuan ini menekankan perlunya kerangka kerja interdisipliner dalam menangani CSA—menggabungkan strategi hukum, neurologis, dan psikologis untuk meningkatkan pencegahan dan rehabilitasi. Makalah ini diakhiri dengan rekomendasi bagi sistem peradilan untuk mempertimbangkan wawasan neurosains saat menangani kasus CSA.

Kata kunci: *Disfungsi otak; Pelecehan seksual anak; Neurokriminologi.*

INTRODUCTION

Child sexual abuse (CSA) remains one of the most devastating forms of interpersonal violence, with long-lasting effects on victims and society (Sharma, 2022). Traditionally studied within legal and psychological frameworks, recent advances in neuroscience have prompted criminologists to reconsider the biological underpinnings of deviant behavior (Sharma, 2022). Neurocriminology, an emerging interdisciplinary field that combines neuroscience and criminology, offers valuable insights into the neurobiological traits that may predispose individuals to commit such crimes (Fernando et al., 2025; Trinh et al., 2024). Understanding CSA through this lens is essential not only for scholarly knowledge but also for enhancing legal frameworks and therapeutic interventions.

Child sexual abuse (CSA) represents one of the most devastating violations of human rights, with long-lasting consequences that affect not only the individual child but also the broader social fabric (Ifayomi, 2023). It is a global issue that transcends borders, cultures, and socio-economic statuses. Despite heightened global attention and increased legal protections, CSA continues to occur at alarming rates. The trauma endured by victims often leads to lifelong psychological disorders, including post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), depression, anxiety, and increased risk of revictimization (Dubowitz, 2017). While considerable research has been devoted to understanding the victims' experiences, far less is known about the underlying mechanisms that drive individuals to commit such offenses.

Traditional criminological and psychological approaches have made important strides in profiling perpetrators and understanding the sociocultural dimensions of CSA (Fernando et al., 2025). These approaches have identified patterns in offender behavior, typologies of abuse, and risk factors such as childhood trauma, substance abuse, and poor social integration. However, these explanations often fall short of explaining the persistence of deviant behavior in individuals who do not appear to fit conventional risk profiles (Riyadi, 2024; Utari, 2018). This suggests that a more comprehensive understanding—one that considers neurobiological and cognitive factors—may be necessary to address the root causes of CSA perpetration.

In recent years, the field of neurocriminology has emerged as a promising interdisciplinary approach that integrates neuroscience, psychology, and criminology to explore the biological underpinnings of criminal behavior. Neurocriminological studies have demonstrated that certain structural and functional abnormalities in the brain may contribute to impaired impulse control, emotional regulation, and empathy—traits commonly associated with sexual offenders. For example, dysfunctions in the prefrontal cortex and limbic system have been linked to heightened aggression, reduced moral judgment, and an inability to anticipate the consequences of one's actions (Dubowitz, 2017).

Applying a neurocriminological lens to the study of CSA opens new pathways for understanding the cognitive and emotional deficiencies that may predispose individuals to commit such acts. In particular, the study of pedophilic disorder—characterized by

persistent sexual attraction to prepubescent children—has been advanced through neuroimaging research. Findings indicate that some individuals who commit CSA may exhibit specific neurodevelopmental differences, such as reduced gray matter volume in brain regions associated with impulse regulation and sexual arousal. These insights challenge the often-simplistic moral framing of CSA perpetrators as merely "evil," and instead encourage a more nuanced exploration of how biological, psychological, and environmental factors intersect (Hurner, 2006).

However, the use of neuroscience in criminal justice raises complex ethical and legal questions. Critics argue that emphasizing brain abnormalities may risk reducing moral agency and inadvertently lead to deterministic interpretations of behavior (May, 2023; Willmott, 2016). Moreover, the stigmatization of neurobiological traits could result in policy implications that infringe on civil liberties. Thus, any neurocriminological analysis must be situated within a broader multidisciplinary context—one that recognizes the importance of integrating neuroscience with legal theory, human rights principles, and social policy (Utari, 2018).

Given these complexities, this article adopts a multidisciplinary framework to examine CSA, drawing upon insights from neurocriminology, developmental psychology, legal studies, and social science. By combining these perspectives, we aim to build a holistic understanding of CSA offenders that neither pathologizes all deviance nor absolves individual responsibility. Instead, this approach emphasizes the need for informed, evidence-based strategies in prevention, early detection, and rehabilitation.

This inquiry is particularly relevant in an era when CSA is increasingly occurring in digital contexts, such as online grooming and child sexual abuse material (CSAM) distribution, which further complicates the profile and motivations of offenders. Understanding the neurological susceptibilities that might make certain individuals more prone to these behaviors can assist in crafting targeted interventions. From risk assessment tools in forensic psychiatry to offender rehabilitation programs and policy design, neurocriminological insights may enrich the tools available to both practitioners and policymakers.

In conclusion, exploring child sexual abuse through the lens of neurocriminology is not intended to excuse behavior, but to deepen our understanding of its origins and persistence. A multidisciplinary analysis enables a more accurate, humane, and effective response to a deeply troubling social problem. This paper argues that only by integrating the biological, psychological, and social dimensions of CSA can we hope to develop holistic solutions that prioritize both justice for victims and the prevention of future harm.

METHODS

This research employs a qualitative multidisciplinary approach grounded in neurocriminology to examine child sexual abuse by integrating insights from neuroscience, psychology, and criminology. Through a doctrinal and socio-legal method, the study analyzes existing legal frameworks and empirical findings on brain structure and function abnormalities, hormonal imbalances, and psychosocial factors that may

contribute to deviant sexual behavior. Case studies, literature reviews, and expert interviews are utilized to explore the interplay between neurological deficits and criminal responsibility, aiming to provide a comprehensive understanding of offender profiles and inform more effective legal and preventive strategies (Mulyanti & Febriani, 2024).

The research design for the study titled Child Sexual Abuse from a Neurocriminological Perspective: A Multidisciplinary Analysis adopts an exploratory qualitative framework that integrates doctrinal legal analysis with empirical neurocriminological insights. This design allows for a deep examination of legal texts, court decisions, and policies related to child sexual abuse, while concurrently analyzing neuroscientific and psychological literature on offender behavior, brain dysfunction, and impulse control. By employing case study analysis and expert interviews, the research bridges normative and scientific disciplines to uncover patterns of deviance and their neurobiological underpinnings, ultimately aiming to support more nuanced legal interpretations and informed policy recommendations.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This Analysis reveal that child sexual abuse offenders often exhibit identifiable neurobiological and psychological abnormalities, including impaired impulse control, deficits in the prefrontal cortex, and atypical activity in brain regions associated with empathy and moral reasoning. These neurological patterns, when combined with adverse childhood experiences and environmental stressors, contribute to a complex etiology of deviant behavior. From a legal standpoint, the study highlights the inadequacy of traditional punitive models in addressing the root causes of offending, suggesting that a more rehabilitative and scientifically informed approach—integrating legal accountability with neuropsychological assessment and treatment—could lead to more effective prevention and intervention strategies.

Neurocriminology: Bridging Brain Science and Criminal Behavior

Neurocriminology posits that structural and functional anomalies in specific brain regions are linked to criminal conduct. Raine (2002) identified the prefrontal cortex as a critical region associated with decision-making, moral reasoning, and self-control. Damage or dysfunction in this area may impair an individual's ability to inhibit deviant impulses (Widyorini et al., 2014). Additionally, the amygdala—integral to emotion processing—has been shown to be underactive in individuals with antisocial tendencies (Blair, 2010). These findings align with the observed lack of empathy, remorse, and impulse control in CSA perpetrators.

The findings suggest that many individuals who commit sexual offenses against children may not simply act out of moral failing or conscious choice alone, but rather due to a complex interplay of neurobiological vulnerabilities and environmental influences. For example, neuroimaging studies reviewed in the research reveal that some offenders exhibit diminished gray matter volume in brain areas associated with empathy and decision-making. Furthermore, early exposure to trauma, neglect, or abuse may alter brain development in ways that predispose individuals to later deviant behavior. This

connection between childhood adversity, brain dysfunction, and criminality reflects the core of neurocriminological inquiry (Blair, 2010; Dubowitz, 2017; Utari, 2018).

By bridging brain science and criminal behavior, neurocriminology challenges traditional legal and moral narratives that emphasize free will as the sole driver of crime. The study emphasizes the need for the justice system to consider neuroscientific evidence not as a justification for criminal behavior, but as a tool for understanding the root causes and tailoring appropriate interventions (Trinh et al., 2024). This has significant implications for sentencing, rehabilitation, and recidivism prevention, particularly in cases involving sexual offenses against minors. Understanding the neurobiological dimension of offending behavior may allow courts and policymakers to distinguish between high-risk offenders and those who could benefit from therapeutic intervention.

Ultimately, the incorporation of neurocriminological insights into legal discourse promotes a more holistic and humane approach to justice. The theme illustrates how multidisciplinary collaboration—between law, neuroscience, psychology, and social work—can reshape strategies for prevention and rehabilitation (Fernando et al., 2025; Matto et al., 2013). It calls for a paradigm shift: from purely punitive measures toward scientifically grounded policies that address the cognitive and emotional deficits underlying criminal conduct. In the context of child sexual abuse, this approach could lead to more effective long-term solutions aimed at protecting children, reducing reoffending, and promoting offender accountability through both legal and clinical pathways.

Neurological Correlates of Child Sexual Offending

Recent neuroimaging studies provide compelling evidence that CSA offenders often exhibit atypical brain structures. For instance, Cantor et al. (2008) found that pedophilic men had significant white matter deficits in regions associated with sexual arousal and inhibition. These structural deviations may distort sexual interest patterns and reduce behavioral regulation. Tănăsescu (2019) further reported reduced gray matter volume in the orbitofrontal cortex and anterior cingulate cortex among violent and sexually deviant offenders, suggesting impaired executive function and moral reasoning.

This theme centers on identifying and analyzing specific brain structures and neurological dysfunctions that are recurrently associated with individuals who commit sexual offenses against children (Sharma, 2022). By exploring the neurobiological underpinnings of deviant sexual behavior, the study moves beyond conventional psychological or sociological interpretations and provides a deeper, science-based understanding of offender behavior.

One of the most consistently observed neurological features among child sexual offenders is abnormal functioning in the prefrontal cortex, the region responsible for executive functions such as impulse control, decision-making, and moral reasoning. Neuroimaging studies cited in the article reveal reduced activity or structural deficits in this region, which may impair an individual's ability to regulate inappropriate sexual urges or understand the moral and legal implications of their actions. These findings

suggest that some offenders may not possess the neurological capacity to inhibit harmful behavior, which raises important questions about culpability, rehabilitation potential, and the limits of deterrence-based legal approaches.

Another key finding relates to the limbic system, particularly the amygdala and hypothalamus, which play critical roles in emotional processing and sexual arousal. Research indicates that individuals who commit sexual offenses against children may exhibit heightened or dysregulated activity in these regions, leading to inappropriate sexual interest or compulsivity (Blair, 2010; Tănăsescu, 2019; Trinh et al., 2024). The article also discusses frontal-limbic disconnection, a phenomenon where impaired communication between emotional and regulatory centers in the brain contributes to poor behavioral inhibition. This disconnect may underlie why some offenders exhibit persistent deviant fantasies despite recognizing the wrongness of their actions.

The article further explores neurodevelopmental and hormonal factors, noting that many offenders have a history of childhood trauma, neglect, or exposure to violence—factors that can significantly alter brain development. Adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) have been shown to cause long-term changes in brain architecture, particularly in stress-regulation systems such as the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis. This can result in emotional dysregulation, increased aggression, and impaired empathy—traits commonly observed in individuals convicted of sexual offenses against children. In some cases, the presence of neurological disorders, such as traumatic brain injuries or neurodegenerative diseases like frontotemporal dementia, has also been linked to the onset of sexually inappropriate behavior later in life.

Importantly, the study emphasizes that neurological correlates are not deterministic; they do not excuse the behavior but rather contextualize it within a broader biopsychosocial framework. The presence of neurological abnormalities does not absolve legal responsibility but invites a reconsideration of how the justice system might integrate neuroscientific evidence in sentencing, rehabilitation, and risk assessment. For instance, individuals with demonstrable brain impairments might benefit more from tailored therapeutic interventions and ongoing neurological monitoring than from purely punitive sanctions. Such insights also reinforce the importance of early detection and intervention in at-risk populations, particularly children exposed to abuse or neglect.

In conclusion, the theme of Neurological Correlates of Child Sexual Offending reinforces the need for a multidisciplinary approach to understanding and preventing child sexual abuse. By illuminating how specific brain dysfunctions contribute to deviant behavior, the article challenges one-dimensional portrayals of offenders and calls for a justice system that balances accountability with scientific understanding. This neurocriminological lens offers not only a more accurate profile of offenders but also a pathway to more effective, evidence-based strategies for rehabilitation and prevention, ultimately aiming to reduce recidivism and protect vulnerable populations from future harm.

Legal and Ethical Implications

The integration of neuroscientific evidence into legal processes is ethically and legally complex. On one hand, identifying neurological abnormalities may offer mitigating factors in criminal responsibility, particularly in jurisdictions recognizing diminished capacity defenses (Tănăsescu, 2019). On the other hand, it raises questions about determinism, accountability, and the risk of pathologizing deviance. Nevertheless, understanding the neurobiological aspects of CSA can inform sentencing policies, therapeutic interventions, and offender rehabilitation strategies—shifting the justice system toward a more restorative and preventive model.

Traditional criminal justice systems are primarily built on the principles of free will, moral responsibility, and retributive justice. However, neurocriminological findings—such as structural and functional brain abnormalities, neurochemical imbalances, or early childhood trauma—challenge the simplicity of such assumptions (Reddy, 2025b). These findings suggest that certain individuals who commit CSA may have neurobiological predispositions that impair impulse control, moral reasoning, or affect regulation. This raises profound legal questions regarding culpability, sentencing, and the potential for rehabilitation versus punishment.

One of the central legal concerns is how to balance the recognition of diminished capacity or neurobiological dysfunction with the necessity of protecting children and upholding justice for victims. Courts and legal systems must tread cautiously when incorporating neuroscientific evidence to avoid the dual risks of unjust mitigation (excusing behavior that still causes profound harm) and over-determinism (treating offenders as irreparably damaged or inherently dangerous). Furthermore, there is a danger that neurobiological explanations may be misused as blanket justifications or lead to deterministic views that strip individuals of agency and ignore contextual social factors that contribute to CSA (Reddy, 2025a).

From an ethical standpoint, using neurocriminology to understand CSA invites dilemmas around consent, privacy, and stigmatization. The identification of neurobiological risk factors in individuals—particularly children or adolescents—may help in early intervention but also raises the specter of labeling, discrimination, and violation of autonomy. For example, should a child with a known history of brain trauma or genetic predisposition to antisocial behavior be subjected to mandatory monitoring or behavioral interventions? Ethical frameworks must consider the rights of potential offenders while prioritizing the safety and rights of actual and potential victims.

Another ethical concern relates to the development and implementation of treatment and prevention strategies based on neuroscientific data. Interventions such as pharmacological treatments, cognitive-behavioral therapy informed by brain imaging, or even neuromodulation techniques (e.g., transcranial magnetic stimulation) might show promise, but they raise questions about consent, especially when applied in forensic or custodial contexts. Ensuring that such interventions are evidence-based, respectful of human dignity, and free from coercion is paramount.

Finally, the incorporation of neurocriminology into legal discourse also necessitates reform in professional training and policy development. Judges, lawyers, forensic psychiatrists, and law enforcement must be educated in the responsible interpretation of neuroscientific findings to avoid misuse or miscommunication of complex data in legal settings. Policies should reflect a multidisciplinary approach that respects both scientific insights and core legal principles such as due process, proportionality, and the best interests of the child. In sum, while neurocriminology offers powerful tools for understanding and potentially preventing CSA, its application in legal and ethical realms must be guided by caution, interdisciplinarity, and a steadfast commitment to human rights.

CONCLUSION

Child sexual abuse (CSA) is one of the gravest violations of human rights and requires more than conventional criminal justice responses. It demands a multidisciplinary approach that recognizes the complexity of human behavior. Neurocriminology offers a powerful analytical framework to explore the biological dimensions of offender behavior, particularly by identifying neurological dysfunctions that may affect impulse control and behavioral regulation. This perspective does not absolve personal responsibility but opens the door to more effective interventions that integrate legal accountability with neuroscience-informed rehabilitation. It also invites a reexamination of legal concepts such as *mens rea* and culpability, while upholding justice and the rights of victims. The ethical challenge lies in applying neuroscientific insights without infringing on individual rights or reinforcing stigmatizing, deterministic narratives. At the same time, safeguarding victims and ensuring public safety must remain central. In this light, continued collaboration between neuroscience, law, and social policy is essential to developing humane, evidence-based, and ethically sound responses to CSA—ones that protect children, promote rehabilitation, and embrace the full complexity of the human condition.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author extends sincere gratitude to all individuals and institutions who have offered support, insight, and encouragement throughout the development of this work. Special thanks go to the scholars and practitioners in the fields of criminology, neuroscience, and law whose interdisciplinary efforts continue to shape more compassionate and effective responses to child sexual abuse. It is the author's hope that this paper contributes meaningfully to ongoing dialogue and the advancement of just, evidence-based policies that center on child protection and human dignity.

REFERENCES

Blair, R. J. R. (2010). Neuroimaging of psychopathy and antisocial behavior: a targeted review. *Current Psychiatry Reports*, *12*, 76–82.

Cantor, J. M., Kabani, N., Christensen, B. K., Zipursky, R. B., Barbaree, H. E., Dickey, R., Klassen, P. E., Mikulis, D. J., Kuban, M. E., Blak, T., & others. (2008). Cerebral white matter deficiencies in pedophilic men. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, *42*(3),

167–183.

Dubowitz, H. (2017). Child sexual abuse and exploitation—A global glimpse. *Child Abuse & Neglect*, *66*, 2–8.

Fernando, Z. J., Agusalim, A., Utami, R. A., Albariansyah, H., & Sacipto, R. (2025). Neurolaw: A Concept in Development and Enforcement of Criminal Law in Indonesia. *Jambura Law Review*, *7*(1), 55–87.

Hurner, S. (2006). Discursive identity formation of suffrage women: Reframing the "cult of true womanhood" through song. *Western Journal of Communication*, *70*(3), 234–260.

Ifayomi, M. (2023). *Challenges facing Healthcare Professionals (HCPs) in their roles of identifying and responding to victims of Child Sexual Abuse (CSA) in Nigeria*. University of Sheffield.

Matto, H. C., Strolin-Goltzman, J., & Ballan, M. (2013). *Neuroscience for social work: Current research and practice*.

May, J. (2023). *Neuroethics: Agency in the age of brain science*. Oxford University Press.

Mulyanti, E., & Febriani, R. (2024). Memahami Perbedaan Esensial antara Grammar Translation Method dan Direct Method dalam Pembelajaran Bahasa Inggris. *Karimah Tauhid*, *3*(5), 5733–5744.

Raine, A. (2002). The biological basis of crime. *Crime: Public Policies for Crime Control*, *43*, 74.

Reddy, K. J. (2025a). Mitigation and Sentencing in Criminal Cases. In *Foundations of Criminal Forensic Neuropsychology* (pp. 341–377). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-83771-5_13

Reddy, K. J. (2025b). Neuropsychology of Criminal Responsibility. In *Foundations of Criminal Forensic Neuropsychology* (pp. 93–127). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-83771-5_5

Riyadi, B. S. (2024). Criminal Behavior Politician During Reform in Indonesia. *International Journal of Religion*, *5*, 582–598.

Sharma, A. (2022). Men survivors' perspectives on impact of child sexual abuse. *Children and Youth Services Review*, *137*, 106485.

Tănăsescu, G. (2019). The Causal Link between Functional Disorder of the Orbitofrontal Cortex, Disorder of Moral Reasoning and Aggressive Sexual Behavior. *RAIS Journal for Social Sciences*, *3*(1), 99–105.

Trinh, D. T., NGUYEN, T. T. H., NGUYEN, T. A., & NGUYEN, Q. D. (2024). Crime Prevention Based on Neurological Signs: An Exploration of Neurology and Criminology. *International Journal of Criminal Justice Sciences*, *19*(1), 428–450.

Utari, I. S. (2018). Criminology Studies and Social Control Perspective to the Trend of Children Infringing Law in Indonesian Society. *1st International Conference on Indonesian Legal Studies (ICILS 2018)*, 100–107.

Widyorini, E., Roswita, M. Y., Sumijati, S. R. I., Eriany, P., Primastuti, E., Judiati, E. A., & others. (2014). *Psikologi Anak Berkebutuhan Khusus*.

Willmott, C. (2016). *Biological determinism, free will and moral responsibility: insights from genetics and neuroscience*. Springer.